

Table 1. Summary of reports describing classification performance

Source	Outcome Number	Control Number	Classification Method	Sensitivity	Specificity	False positive	Sum of Sensitivity and Specificity
Spencer et al 1997	HIE all grades 38	No HIE 35	FIGO levels suspicious or abnormal	89%	48%	52%	137%
Low et al 1999	UA base deficit > 16 mmol/L 71	UA base deficit <8mmol/L 71	Absent baseline variability > 10 min Minimal variability > 20 min AND late or prolonged decelerations Minimal variability >20 min OR late and/or prolonged decelerations	17% 46% 75%	98% 89% 57%	2% 11% 43%	115% 135% 132%
Elliott et al 2006	HIE all grades 60	UA base deficit <8 mmol/L 2132	Parer and Ikeda 5 levels Red Orange and above Yellow and above Blue and above	8% 52% 88% 98%	98.3% 81.9% 40.1% 13.1%	1.7% 18.1% 59.9% 86.9%	107% 134% 128% 111%
Elliott et al 2006	UA base deficit > 12 mmol/L 280	UA base deficit <8 mmol/L 2132	Parer and Ikeda 5 levels Red Orange and above Yellow and above Blue and above	3% 30% 73% 94%	98.3% 81.9% 40.1% 13.1%	1.7% 18.1% 59.9% 86.9%	101% 112% 113% 107%
Cahill et al 2012	UA pH<=7.10 57	UA pH>7.10 5331	ACOG 3 Categories Category II Category III	100% 0%	2.4% 99.9%	97.6% 0.1%	102% 100%
Clark et al 2015	UA base deficit > 12 mmol/L 120	UA base deficit <8 mmol/L 120	9branches based on EFM and labor status Any Intervention Recommendation	45.8%	81.7%	18.3%	128%